

**LONDON TAUGHT COURSE CENTRE**

**LTCC Basic Course      Statistical Modelling and Estimation**

**Exam Question** **April 2010**

---

*You should attempt all parts of the question. Software such as Maple or Mathematica may be used for inverting matrices.*

---

An experiment was carried out to maximise the yield of a chemical reaction. There were three continuous explanatory variables

$X_1$  = reaction time in hours,

$X_2$  = reaction temperature in degrees Celsius and

$X_3$  = pressure.

The response variable  $Y$  was the percentage yield of the reaction.

The experiment used a central-composite design with  $n = 11$  runs. The design in which the values of the explanatory variables are coded to lie between  $-1$  and  $1$  and the responses are shown below:

Run	Variable			$Y$
	$X_1$	$X_2$	$X_3$	
1	-1	-1	-1	27.9
2	-1	1	1	21.2
3	1	-1	1	94.2
4	1	1	-1	38.1
5	-1	0	0	31.6
6	1	0	0	60.7
7	0	-1	0	49.6
8	0	1	0	32.1
9	0	0	-1	31.1
10	0	0	1	48.6
11	0	0	0	39.5

A suitable model for these data is the second-order polynomial regression or second-order response surface model with expected response

$$E(Y_i) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{1i} + \beta_2 x_{2i} + \beta_3 x_{3i} + \beta_{11} x_{1i}^2 + \beta_{22} x_{2i}^2 + \beta_{33} x_{3i}^2 + \beta_{12} x_{1i} x_{2i} + \beta_{13} x_{1i} x_{3i} + \beta_{23} x_{2i} x_{3i}$$

where the variables  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$  are assumed to be uncorrelated and to have the same variance  $V(Y_i) = \sigma^2$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

- (a) Write down the model in matrix form, that is write down the design matrix  $\mathbf{X}$ , the vector of parameters  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$  and the variance-covariance matrix  $V(\mathbf{Y})$ .
- (b) For the given data is the least squares estimate  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$  of  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$  uniquely determined? Justify your answer, then find  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ .

- (c) Test at the 5% level of significance if the full second-order model can be simplified to the model with expected response

$$E(Y_i) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{1i} + \beta_2 x_{2i} + \beta_3 x_{3i} + \beta_{11} x_{1i}^2 + \beta_{12} x_{1i} x_{2i} + \beta_{13} x_{1i} x_{3i} + \beta_{23} x_{2i} x_{3i}.$$

In other words, we wish to test simultaneously if  $\beta_{22} = 0$  and if  $\beta_{33} = 0$ .

- (d) Suppose that due to some mishap the observations for runs 9 and 10 in the table given at the start of the question were missing, so that only  $n = 9$  observations would be available and the design matrix would only have nine rows. In this case which of the parameters  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_3$  in the full second-order model would be estimable and which one would not be estimable.