# LTCC 2008

# Lecture notes, Part 1

1. INTRODUCTION

We write

$$\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$$

for the set of natural numbers and

$$\mathbb{Z} = \{\ldots, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$$

for the set of integers. Then  $\mathbb{Z}$  is a commutative ring. We recall the definition.

**Definition 1.1.** A commutative ring R is a set R with two binary operations + and  $\cdot$  such that

- (R, +) is an abelian group (the additive identity will be denoted by 0 and the additive inverse of  $\alpha \in R$  by  $-\alpha$ )
- · is associative and commutative, and there is a multiplicative identity (denoted by 1)
- the distributivity law  $\alpha \cdot (\beta + \gamma) = (\alpha \cdot \beta) + (\alpha \cdot \gamma)$  holds.

In this course by a ring we will always mean a commutative ring. We will usually omit  $\cdot$  and parentheses when it does not cause any confusion. The ring  $\mathbb{Z}$  is contained in the field of rational numbers

$$\mathbb{Q} = \{ \alpha/\beta : \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}, \beta \neq 0 \}.$$

(Recall that a ring R is called a *field* if  $|R| \ge 2$  and every  $\alpha \in R \setminus \{0\}$  has a multiplicative inverse, i.e. there exists  $\alpha^{-1} \in R$  such that  $\alpha \alpha^{-1} = 1$ .)

In algebraic number theory one considers certain field extensions K of  $\mathbb{Q}$  and defines a ring  $R_K \subset K$  which is a generalisation of the ring  $\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{Q}$ .

$$\begin{array}{rrrr} R_K & \subset & K \\ | & & | \\ \mathbb{Z} & \subset & \mathbb{Q} \end{array}$$

### 2. Algebraic extensions

A field extension K of  $\mathbb{Q}$  (often denoted by  $K/\mathbb{Q}$ ) is a field K which contains  $\mathbb{Q}$ . For example the field of complex numbers  $\mathbb{C}$  is a field extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$ . In this course one can usually assume that field extensions K of  $\mathbb{Q}$  are contained in  $\mathbb{C}$  (for the fields we are interested in this is not a serious restriction). If K is a field extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$  then in particular we can consider K as a vector space over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . The *degree* of the extension  $K/\mathbb{Q}$  (denoted by  $[K : \mathbb{Q}]$ ) is defined to be the dimension of the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space K.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $K/\mathbb{Q}$  be a field extension. An element  $\alpha \in K$  is called *algebraic* over  $\mathbb{Q}$  if  $\alpha$  satisfies a polynomial equation

$$X^{n} + c_{n-1}X^{n-1} + \dots + c_{1}X + c_{0} = 0$$

where  $c_0, c_1, \ldots, c_{n-1} \in \mathbb{Q}$ .

**Definition 2.2.** A field extension  $K/\mathbb{Q}$  is called *algebraic* if every element  $\alpha \in K$  is algebraic over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

**Theorem 2.3.** Let  $K/\mathbb{Q}$  be a field extension and  $\alpha, \beta \in K$ . If  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are algebraic over  $\mathbb{Q}$  then so are  $\alpha + \beta$  and  $\alpha\beta$ . If  $\alpha \neq 0$  is algebraic over  $\mathbb{Q}$  then so is  $\alpha^{-1}$ . Hence the set of elements of K that are algebraic over  $\mathbb{Q}$  form a field.

*Proof.* This follows immediately from [2, V, Prop. 1.6]. Alternatively, to show that  $\alpha + \beta$  and  $\alpha\beta$  are algebraic over  $\mathbb{Q}$  one can use the proof of Theorem 3.2 in the next section with  $\mathbb{Z}$  replaced by  $\mathbb{Q}$ , and it is an easy exercise to show that  $\alpha^{-1}$  is algebraic over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . We omit the details.

**Definition 2.4.** An algebraic number field is a field K which is finite over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , i.e. a field extension K of  $\mathbb{Q}$  such that  $[K : \mathbb{Q}] < \infty$ .

**Lemma 2.5.** Let K be an algebraic number field. Then the field extension  $K/\mathbb{Q}$  is algebraic.

Proof. Let  $\alpha \in K$ . Since K is a finite dimensional  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space there exists an  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \ldots, \alpha^n$  are linearly dependent. Choose the minimal such n. Then there exist  $c_0, c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_n \in \mathbb{Q}$  with  $c_n \neq 0$  such that  $c_0 + c_1\alpha + c_2\alpha^2 + \cdots + c_n\alpha^n = 0$ . Hence  $\alpha$  is a root of the polynomial  $X^n + c_{n-1}/c_n X^{n-1} + \cdots + c_1/c_n X + c_0/c_n \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$  and therefore algebraic over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

For  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{C}$  we let  $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n)$  denote the smallest field extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$  which contains  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n$ . One can show that if  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n$  are algebraic over  $\mathbb{Q}$  then the field  $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n)$  is algebraic over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

An important class of examples is given by quadratic fields.

**Definition 2.6.** An algebraic number field K with  $[K : \mathbb{Q}] = 2$  is called a *quadratic* field.

An integer  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  is called *square-free* if m is not divisible by the square of a prime number.

**Lemma 2.7.** Let  $m \neq 1$  be a square-free integer. Then  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})$  is a quadratic field and one has  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m}) = \mathbb{Q} + \mathbb{Q}\sqrt{m}$ .

*Proof.* It is easy to see that  $\sqrt{m} \notin \mathbb{Q}$  so that  $\mathbb{Q} + \mathbb{Q}\sqrt{m}$  is 2-dimensional as a vector space over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Clearly one has  $\mathbb{Q} + \mathbb{Q}\sqrt{m} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})$ . To show equality it suffices to show that  $\mathbb{Q} + \mathbb{Q}\sqrt{m}$  is a field, but this is straightforward to verify.  $\Box$ 

**Lemma 2.8.** Let K be a quadratic field. Then there exists a unique square-free integer  $m \neq 1$  such that  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})$ .

Proof. If  $\alpha \in K \setminus \mathbb{Q}$  then clearly  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ . Furthermore  $1, \alpha, \alpha^2$  are linearly dependent over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , so there exist  $c_0, c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{Q}$  with  $c_2 \neq 0$  such that  $c_0+c_1\alpha+c_2\alpha^2 = 0$ . Dividing by  $c_2$  gives  $\alpha^2 + a\alpha + b = 0$  for some  $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$ , hence  $(\alpha + a/2)^2 = a^2/4 - b$ . Since  $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha) = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha + a/2)$  we find that  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{a^2/4 - b})$ . Now there exists a non-zero  $c \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that  $m = c^2(a^2/4 - b)$  is a square-free integer, and as  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{a^2/4 - b}) = \mathbb{Q}(c\sqrt{a^2/4 - b})$  it follows that  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})$  as required.

Uniqueness of m is left as an exercise.

A quadratic field  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})$  is called *real quadratic* if m > 0 and *complex quadratic* if m < 0.

**Lemma 2.9.** Let  $m \neq 1$  be a square-free integer and  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m}) = \mathbb{Q} + \mathbb{Q}\sqrt{m}$ . Then the map  $\tau : K \to K$ ,  $\tau(a + b\sqrt{m}) = a - b\sqrt{m}$  (where  $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$ ) is an automorphism of the field K, i.e.  $\tau$  is bijective and preserves the operations + and  $\cdot$  of K. Furthermore  $\tau$  fixes  $\mathbb{Q}$ , i.e.  $\tau(\alpha) = \alpha$  if  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q} \subset K$ .

*Proof.* Bijectivity is obvious, and a simple computation shows that  $\tau$  preserves + and  $\cdot$ , e.g.  $\tau((a+b\sqrt{m})\cdot(c+d\sqrt{m})) = \tau((ac+mbd)+(ad+bc)\sqrt{m}) = (ac+mbd) - (ad+bc)\sqrt{m} = (a-b\sqrt{m})\cdot(c-d\sqrt{m}) = \tau(a+b\sqrt{m})\cdot\tau(c+d\sqrt{m})$ .

#### 3. Algebraic integers

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $K/\mathbb{Q}$  be a field extension. An element  $\alpha \in K$  is called *integral* over  $\mathbb{Z}$  (or an *algebraic integer*) if  $\alpha$  satisfies a polynomial equation

$$X^{n} + c_{n-1}X^{n-1} + \dots + c_{1}X + c_{0} = 0$$

where  $c_0, c_1, \ldots, c_{n-1} \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $K/\mathbb{Q}$  be a field extension and  $\alpha, \beta \in K$ . If  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are integral over  $\mathbb{Z}$  then so are  $\alpha + \beta$  and  $\alpha\beta$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\alpha$  is integral over  $\mathbb{Z}$  it is a root of  $X^d + c_{d-1}X^{d-1} + \cdots + c_1X + c_0 = 0$ with  $c_0, c_1, \ldots, c_{d-1} \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Similarly since  $\beta$  is integral over  $\mathbb{Z}$  it is a root of a monic polynomial of degree  $e \ge 1$  with integer coefficients. Consider the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module  $M \subset K$ spanned by  $\alpha^i \beta^j$  for  $0 \le i \le d-1, 0 \le j \le e-1$ , that is

$$M = \left\{ \sum_{i,j} c_{i,j} \alpha^i \beta^j : c_{i,j} \in \mathbb{Z}, 0 \le i \le d-1, 0 \le j \le e-1 \right\}.$$

We first show that  $(\alpha + \beta)M \subseteq M$ . This is equivalent to showing that  $(\alpha + \beta)\alpha^i\beta^j = \alpha^{i+1}\beta^j + \alpha^i\beta^{j+1} \in M$  for  $0 \le i \le d-1$ ,  $0 \le j \le e-1$ . If  $i \ne d-1$  then clearly  $\alpha^{i+1}\beta^j \in M$ . If i = d-1 then  $\alpha^{i+1}\beta^j = (-c_{d-1}\alpha^{d-1} - \cdots - c_1\alpha - c_0)\beta^j \in M$ . So in all cases  $\alpha^{i+1}\beta^j \in M$  and a similar argument shows that  $\alpha^i\beta^{j+1} \in M$ . Thus  $(\alpha + \beta)\alpha^i\beta^j \in M$  as claimed.

We have shown that there exists a finitely generated  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module  $M \subset K$  such that  $(\alpha + \beta)M \subseteq M$ . Let  $m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_n \in M$  be any finite set of generators of M (for example we can take  $m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_n$  to be the elements  $\alpha^i \beta^j$  for  $0 \leq i \leq d-1$ ,  $0 \leq j \leq e-1$ ). Then for each index k we have  $(\alpha + \beta)m_k \in M$ , so  $(\alpha + \beta)m_k = \sum_{l=1}^n c_{kl}m_l$  for some  $c_{kl} \in \mathbb{Z}$ . This can also be written as

(1) 
$$(\alpha + \beta) \begin{pmatrix} m_1 \\ \vdots \\ m_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c_{11} & \dots & c_{1n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ c_{n1} & \dots & c_{nn} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} m_1 \\ \vdots \\ m_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now M is non-trivial and  $m_1, \ldots, m_n$  generate M, therefore we have  $(m_1, \ldots, m_n) \neq (0, \ldots, 0)$ . Hence (1) implies that  $\alpha + \beta$  is an eigenvalue of the matrix  $C = (c_{kl})_{1 \leq k, l \leq n}$ . Therefore  $\alpha + \beta$  is a root of the characteristic polynomial det $(X \cdot \mathbf{1}_n - C)$  where  $\mathbf{1}_n$  denotes the  $n \times n$  unit matrix. But it is easy to see that det $(X \cdot \mathbf{1}_n - C)$  is a monic polynomial with integer coefficients and that therefore  $\alpha + \beta$  is integral over  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

The proof that  $\alpha\beta$  is integral over  $\mathbb{Z}$  is similar using that  $\alpha\beta M \subseteq M$ .

If K is an algebraic number field then the set of all elements of K that are integral over  $\mathbb{Z}$  is denoted by  $R_K$ . (Remark: Often the notation  $\mathcal{O}_K$  is used instead of  $R_K$ .) By Theorem 3.2 the set  $R_K$  is a ring. It is called the *ring of integers* of K.

**Lemma 3.3.** A number  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}$  is integral over  $\mathbb{Z}$  if and only if  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$ , i.e.  $R_{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathbb{Z}$ .

*Proof.* If  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$  then  $\alpha$  is integral over  $\mathbb{Z}$  because it is a root of  $X - \alpha = 0$ .

Conversely assume that  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}$  is integral over  $\mathbb{Z}$ , so  $\alpha$  satisfies a polynomial equation

(2)  $\alpha^{n} + c_{n-1}\alpha^{n-1} + \dots + c_{1}\alpha + c_{0} = 0$ 

with  $c_0, c_1, \ldots, c_{n-1} \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Write  $\alpha = r/s$  with  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $s \in \mathbb{N}$  and gcd(r, s) = 1. Multiplying (2) by  $s^n$  gives

$$r^{n} + c_{n-1}r^{n-1}s + \dots + c_{1}rs^{n-1} + c_{0}s^{n} = 0,$$

hence  $s \mid r^n$ . If  $s \neq 1$  then s has a prime factor p. But  $p \mid r^n$  implies  $p \mid r$ , contradicting gcd(r, s) = 1. Hence s = 1 and  $\alpha = r \in \mathbb{Z}$  as required.  $\Box$ 

**Lemma 3.4.** Let K be an algebraic number field and  $\alpha \in K$ . Then there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n\alpha \in R_K$ .

Proof. Exercise.

**Corollary 3.5.** Let K be an algebraic number field. Then K is the field of fractions of  $R_K$ , i.e.  $K = \{\alpha/\beta : \alpha, \beta \in R_K, \beta \neq 0\}.$ 

Proof. This is immediate from Lemma 3.4.

 $\square$ 

**Lemma 3.6.** Let  $m \neq 1$  be a square-free integer and let  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m}) = \{a + b\sqrt{m} : a, b \in \mathbb{Q}\}$ . Then

$$R_K = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\sqrt{m} & \text{if } m \not\equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\frac{1+\sqrt{m}}{2} & \text{if } m \equiv 1 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* We consider the case  $m \not\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , the other case is left as exercise.

If  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\sqrt{m}$  then  $\alpha = a + b\sqrt{m}$  with  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $\alpha$  is a root of the monic polynomial  $X^2 - 2aX + (a^2 - mb^2) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  and therefore  $\alpha \in R_K$ .

Conversely assume that  $\alpha \in R_K$ . Write  $\alpha = a + b\sqrt{m}$  with  $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$ . We want to show that  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Recall that in Lemma 2.9 we defined an automorphism  $\tau$ of K by  $\tau(a + b\sqrt{m}) = a - b\sqrt{m}$ . Now  $\alpha \in R_K$  implies that  $\tau(\alpha) \in R_K$  because we have an equation  $\alpha^n + c_{n-1}\alpha^{n-1} + \cdots + c_1\alpha + c_0 = 0$  with  $c_0, c_1, \ldots, c_{n-1} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and applying  $\tau$  to this equation gives  $\tau(\alpha)^n + c_{n-1}\tau(\alpha)^{n-1} + \cdots + c_1\tau(\alpha) + c_0 = 0$ . Since  $R_K$  is a ring it follows that  $2a = \alpha + \tau(\alpha)$  is integral over  $\mathbb{Z}$  and lies in  $\mathbb{Q}$ , hence by Lemma 3.3 we have  $2a \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Similarly  $a^2 - mb^2 = \alpha\tau(\alpha) \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We now distinguish the cases  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $a \notin \mathbb{Z}$ .

If  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  then  $mb^2 \in \mathbb{Z}$  which implies  $b \in \mathbb{Z}$  because *m* is square-free, so  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\sqrt{m}$  as required.

If  $a \notin \mathbb{Z}$  then a = c/2 with  $c \in \mathbb{Z}$  odd, so  $c^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ . From  $(c/2)^2 - mb^2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ it follows that  $c^2 - m(2b)^2 \in \mathbb{Z}$  and moreover  $c^2 - m(2b)^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ . Now  $m(2b)^2 \in \mathbb{Z}$  implies  $2b \in \mathbb{Z}$  because m is square-free, so  $(2b)^2 \equiv 0$  or 1 (mod 4). Hence the assumption  $m \not\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  implies that  $c^2 - m(2b)^2 \equiv 1, 2$  or 3 (mod 4), contradicting  $c^2 - m(2b)^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ . Therefore the case  $a \notin \mathbb{Z}$  cannot arise.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 3.7.** Let K be an algebraic number field. Then the ring  $R_K$  has an integral basis, i.e. there exist elements  $\beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_n \in R_K$  such that every  $\alpha \in R_K$  can be written uniquely in the form  $\alpha = a_1\beta_1 + a_2\beta_2 + \cdots + a_n\beta_n$  where  $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Proof. See for example [1, §II.1, p. 51].

#### 4. Ideals

We first recall some definitions from commutative algebra.

**Definition 4.1.** Let R be a commutative ring.

- (1) A subset  $A \subseteq R$  is called an *ideal* of R if A is a subgroup with respect to addition (i.e.  $0 \in A$  and if  $\alpha, \beta \in A$  then  $\alpha \beta \in A$ ) and if  $\alpha \in R, \beta \in A$  then  $\alpha\beta \in A$ .
- (2) An ideal  $A \subseteq R$  is called *prime* if  $A \neq R$  and if whenever  $\alpha\beta \in A$  for some  $\alpha, \beta \in R$  then  $\alpha \in A$  or  $\beta \in A$ .
- (3) An ideal  $A \subseteq R$  is called *maximal* if  $A \neq R$  and if there is no ideal B which lies strictly between A and R (i.e.  $A \subseteq B \subseteq R$  implies B = A or B = R).

**Definition 4.2.** Let R be a commutative ring and let  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n \in R$ . Then the set

$$(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n) := \{\lambda_1 \alpha_1 + \lambda_2 \alpha_2 + \dots + \lambda_n \alpha_n : \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n \in R\}$$

is an ideal of R. It is called the ideal generated by  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n$ .

4

An ideal  $A \subseteq R$  is called a *principal ideal* if there exists an  $\alpha \in R$  such that  $A = (\alpha)$ .

**Definition 4.3.** Let R be a commutative ring and let A and B be ideals of R. We define the *product* AB to be the ideal

 $AB = \{\alpha_1\beta_1 + \alpha_2\beta_2 + \dots + \alpha_n\beta_n : n \in \mathbb{N}, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n \in A, \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n \in B\}.$ 

**Lemma 4.4.** Let R be a commutative ring.

(1) If  $A = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m)$  and  $B = (\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_n)$  then

 $AB = (\alpha_1\beta_1, \alpha_1\beta_2, \dots, \alpha_1\beta_n, \alpha_2\beta_1, \dots, \alpha_m\beta_n).$ 

In particular if  $A = (\alpha)$  and  $B = (\beta)$  are principal then  $AB = (\alpha\beta)$  is principal.

(2) The product of ideals is associative and commutative. The ideal R is the identity for the product of ideals.

*Proof.* Exercise.

Next we describe the ideals, prime ideals and maximal ideals of the ring  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

- **Lemma 4.5.** (1) Every ideal A of  $\mathbb{Z}$  is principal, more precisely there exists an integer  $\alpha \ge 0$  such that  $A = (\alpha)$ .
  - (2) An ideal  $A = (\alpha)$  of  $\mathbb{Z}$  (with  $\alpha \ge 0$ ) is a prime ideal if and only if  $\alpha = 0$  or  $\alpha$  is a prime number.
  - (3) An ideal  $A = (\alpha)$  of  $\mathbb{Z}$  (with  $\alpha \ge 0$ ) is a maximal ideal if and only if  $\alpha$  is a prime number.

*Proof.* Exercise.

Recall that the fundamental theorem of arithmetic states that every positive integer can be expressed as a product of prime numbers, and that this product representation is unique up to the order of the factors. Since every non-zero ideal of  $\mathbb{Z}$  is generated by a unique positive integer, one can easily deduce a corresponding statement for ideals of  $\mathbb{Z}$ : if A is a non-zero ideal of  $\mathbb{Z}$  then A can be written as a product of prime ideals of  $\mathbb{Z}$ , and this product representation is unique up to the order of the factors.

Now let K be an algebraic number field. The ring  $R_K$  is a generalisation of the ring  $\mathbb{Z}$  and we therefore want to study if  $R_K$  has similar properties as  $\mathbb{Z}$ . In general some of the properties described above fail for  $R_K$ : in general not every ideal of  $R_K$  is principal (an example for this will be given later), and non-zero elements of  $R_K$  can in general not be written uniquely as a product of prime elements. However some properties of  $\mathbb{Z}$  also hold for the rings  $R_K$ .

**Theorem 4.6.** Let K be an algebraic number field and A an ideal of the ring  $R_K$ . Then A is a maximal ideal if and only A is a non-zero prime ideal.

*Proof.* It is not difficult to show that maximal ideals are prime (this is true for any commutative ring), and since  $R_K$  is not a field the maximal ideals are non-zero. For the converse see for example [1, Corollary to Theorem 5, p. 48] which shows that  $R_K$  is a Dedekind domain, and one condition of a Dedekind domain is that all its non-zero prime ideals are maximal (compare [1, Definition (II.1.1), p. 36]).

**Theorem 4.7.** Let K be an algebraic number field. Then every non-zero ideal A of  $R_K$  can be written as a product  $A = P_1 \cdots P_n$  of prime ideals  $P_i$  of  $R_K$ . Moreover this product representation is unique up to the order of the factors.

*Proof.* See for example [1, Theorem 2, p. 37].

### References

- A. Fröhlich, M.J. Taylor, Algebraic number theory, CUP, 1991.
  S. Lang, Algebra, 3rd edition, Springer, 2002.