### Lecture notes, Part 2

### 5. The ideal class group

Let K be an algebraic number field and  $R_K$  the ring of integers of K. It is useful to generalise the notion of an ideal of  $R_K$  as follows.

**Definition 5.1.** A subset  $A \subseteq K$  is called a *fractional ideal* of  $R_K$  if there exists  $\gamma \in R_K \setminus \{0\}$  such that the set  $\gamma A = \{\gamma \alpha : \alpha \in A\}$  is an ideal of  $R_K$  (so in particular  $\gamma A \subseteq R_K$ ).

The product of two fractional ideals is defined in the same way as the product of two ideals. The product of two fractional ideals is again a fractional ideal. The following theorem generalises Theorem 4.7.

**Theorem 5.2.** Let I(K) be the set of non-zero fractional ideals of  $R_K$ . Then I(K) is an abelian group with respect to multiplication of fractional ideals. Every fractional ideal  $A \in I(K)$  can be expressed in the form  $A = P_1^{e_1} P_2^{e_2} \cdots P_n^{e_n}$  where the  $P_i$  are distinct prime ideals of  $R_K$  and  $e_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and this representation is unique (more precisely it's unique up to the order of the factors and including factors with exponent zero).

*Proof.* See for example [1, Theorems 2 and 3].

If  $\alpha \in K$  then the set  $(\alpha) := \{\lambda \alpha : \lambda \in R_K\}$  is a fractional ideal of  $R_K$ . It is called the *principal fractional ideal* generated by  $\alpha$ . Let P(K) denote the set of non-zero principal fractional ideals of  $R_K$ . We note that  $(1) = R_K$ ,  $(\alpha)^{-1} = (\alpha^{-1})$ and  $(\alpha) \cdot (\beta) = (\alpha\beta)$ , hence P(K) is a subgroup of I(K).

**Definition 5.3.** The *ideal class group* Cl(K) of an algebraic number field K is defined to be Cl(K) = I(K)/P(K).

**Example 5.4.** We want to compute  $\operatorname{Cl}(\mathbb{Q})$ . Let  $A \in I(\mathbb{Q})$ , i.e. A is a non-zero fractional ideal of  $R_{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathbb{Z}$ . Then there exists  $\gamma \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $\gamma A$  is a non-zero ideal of  $\mathbb{Z}$ . By Lemma 4.5 there exists  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\gamma A = (\alpha)$ . Hence  $A = \gamma^{-1}(\alpha) = (\gamma^{-1}\alpha)$  is a principal fractional ideal, i.e.  $A \in P(\mathbb{Q})$ . Therefore  $I(\mathbb{Q}) = P(\mathbb{Q})$  which shows that the ideal class group  $\operatorname{Cl}(\mathbb{Q}) = I(\mathbb{Q})/P(\mathbb{Q})$  is trivial.

**Example 5.5.** We now give an example of a non-principal ideal. Let  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-6})$ . By Lemma 3.6 we have  $R_K = \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\sqrt{-6}$ . We will show that the ideal  $A = (2, \sqrt{-6})$  of the ring  $R_K$  is not principal and that therefore the ideal class group  $\operatorname{Cl}(K)$  is non-trivial.

First we define the norm  $N\alpha$  of an element  $\alpha \in K$  by  $N\alpha = \alpha\tau(\alpha)$  where  $\tau$  is the automorphism of K defined in Lemma 2.9. The norm satisfies  $N(\alpha\beta) = N\alpha \cdot N\beta$  for all  $\alpha, \beta \in K$  because we have (using that  $\tau$  is an automorphism)  $N(\alpha\beta) = \alpha\beta\tau(\alpha\beta) = \alpha\tau(\alpha) \cdot \beta\tau(\beta) = N\alpha \cdot N\beta$ . If  $\alpha = a + b\sqrt{-6}$  with  $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$  then

(1) 
$$N\alpha = a^2 + 6b^2.$$

From this we see that  $N\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$  if  $\alpha \in R_K \setminus \{0\}$ .

Now suppose for a contradiction that A is principal, so  $A = (\alpha)$  for some  $\alpha \in R_K$ . Since  $2 \in A$  we have  $2 = \lambda \alpha$  for some  $\lambda \in R_K$ , and taking norms gives  $N(2) = N\lambda \cdot N\alpha$ , hence  $N\alpha \mid N(2) = 4$ . Similarly  $\sqrt{-6} \in A$  implies  $N\alpha \mid N(\sqrt{-6}) = 6$ . It follows that  $N\alpha = 1$  or  $N\alpha = 2$ . Equation (1) shows that the case  $N\alpha = 2$  is impossible. In the case  $N\alpha = 1$  equation (1) shows that  $\alpha = \pm 1$  and therefore  $1 \in (\alpha) = A = (2, \sqrt{-6})$ . But then there exist  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that 1 = (a + 1)  $b\sqrt{-6}) \cdot 2 + (c + d\sqrt{-6}) \cdot \sqrt{-6} = (2a - 6d) + (2b + c)\sqrt{-6}$  which implies 1 = 2a - 6d. As this is impossible, it follows that the case  $N\alpha = 1$  is also impossible. Hence the ideal A is not principal.

**Theorem 5.6.** Let K be an algebraic number field. Then the ideal class group Cl(K) is finite.

*Proof.* See for example [1, Theorem 31, p. 155].

**Definition 5.7.** Let K be an algebraic number field. The class number  $h_K$  of K is defined to be the order of the ideal class group of K, i.e.  $h_K = |Cl(K)|$ .

### 6. Units

We first recall the definition of a unit of a commutative ring.

**Definition 6.1.** Let R be a commutative ring. An element  $\alpha \in R$  is called a *unit* if there exists a  $\beta \in R$  such that  $\alpha\beta = 1$ . The set of units of R is denoted by  $R^{\times}$ .

**Lemma 6.2.** Let R be a commutative ring. Then  $R^{\times}$  is an abelian group (with respect to multiplication).

Proof. Clear.

Now let K be an algebraic number field. We want to determine the structure of the group  $R_K^{\times}$ . First we consider the torsion subgroup of  $R_K^{\times}$ , i.e. the subgroup consisting of all elements of finite order. A *root of unity* in K is an element  $\zeta \in K$  such that  $\zeta^e = 1$  for some  $e \in \mathbb{N}$ . We let  $\mu_K$  denote the set of roots of unity in K,

$$\mu_K = \{ \zeta \in K : \zeta^e = 1 \text{ for some } e \in \mathbb{N} \}.$$

It is easy to see that  $\mu_K$  is a group with respect to multiplication.

**Lemma 6.3.** Let K be an algebraic number field. The torsion subgroup of  $R_K^{\times}$  is equal to the group  $\mu_K$  of roots of unity in K. Furthermore  $\mu_K$  is a finite cyclic group.

*Proof.* If  $\alpha$  is a torsion element in  $R_K^{\times}$ , then  $\alpha^e = 1$  for some  $e \in \mathbb{N}$ , so  $\alpha$  is a root of unity in K. Conversely if  $\alpha \in \mu_K$ , then  $\alpha \in R_K$  (because  $\alpha$  satisfies a polynomial equation of the form  $X^e - 1 = 0$  for some  $e \in \mathbb{N}$ ), and  $\alpha^e = 1$  implies that  $\alpha$  is a unit (with inverse  $\alpha^{e-1}$ ) and has finite order.

Next we show that the group  $\mu_K$  is finite. Assume for a contradiction that  $\mu_K$  is infinite. Then  $\mu_K$  must contain elements of arbitrary large order because for every  $e \in \mathbb{N}$  the equation  $X^e = 1$  has at most e solutions in the field K. Now if  $\zeta \in \mu_K$  then  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta) \subseteq K$  and therefore  $[K : \mathbb{Q}] \geq [\mathbb{Q}(\zeta) : \mathbb{Q}]$ . However one can show that if  $\zeta$  is a root of unity of order e then  $[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta) : \mathbb{Q}] = \varphi(e)$  where  $\varphi$  denotes Euler's  $\varphi$ -function (see for example [2, VI, Theorem 3.1]). But  $\varphi(e) \to \infty$  as  $e \to \infty$ , therefore  $[K : \mathbb{Q}] = \infty$  which contradicts the definition of an algebraic number field.

Finally the cyclicity of the group  $\mu_K$  follows from the general fact that any finite subgroup of  $K^{\times}$  is cyclic (this is true for any field K and not only for algebraic number fields, see for example [2, IV, Theorem 1.9]).

**Example 6.4.** If m > 1 is square-free and  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})$  (so K is a real quadratic field) then  $\mu_K = \{1, -1\}$ . Here the inclusion  $\mu_K \supseteq \{1, -1\}$  is obvious. Conversely if  $\zeta \in \mu_K$  then we can consider  $\zeta$  as an element of  $\mathbb{R}^{\times}$  because  $K \subset \mathbb{R}$  (where  $\mathbb{R}$  denotes the field of real numbers). But the only elements of finite order in  $\mathbb{R}^{\times}$  are 1 and -1, hence  $\zeta \in \{1, -1\}$  as claimed.

**Example 6.5.** If  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$  then  $\mu_K = \{1, -1, \sqrt{-1}, -\sqrt{-1}\}$ . Here the inclusion  $\mu_K \supseteq \{1, -1, \sqrt{-1}, -\sqrt{-1}\}$  is clear; the inclusion  $\mu_K \subseteq \{1, -1, \sqrt{-1}, -\sqrt{-1}\}$  is left as an exercise.

$$\mathbf{2}$$

The next aim is to determine the structure of the torsion free group  $R_K^{\times}/\mu_K$ . For this we must consider embeddings  $\sigma$  of K into  $\mathbb{C}$ , i.e. injective homomorphisms  $\sigma: K \to \mathbb{C}$ .

# **Lemma 6.6.** Let K be an algebraic number field of degree n over $\mathbb{Q}$ . Then there exist precisely n distinct embeddings of K into $\mathbb{C}$ .

Sketch of proof. There exists an element  $\alpha \in K$  such that  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ . This element  $\alpha$  satisfies an irreducible polynomial  $f(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$  of degree n. In the field  $\mathbb{C}$  the polynomial f(x) has n distinct roots  $r_1, \ldots, r_n \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then for each  $i = 1, \ldots, n$  there exists a unique homomorphism  $K \to \mathbb{C}$  which sends  $\alpha$  to  $r_i$ .

Let  $\sigma : K \to \mathbb{C}$  be an embedding. If  $\sigma(K) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  we call  $\sigma$  a real embedding, otherwise we call  $\sigma$  a complex embedding. We let r be the number of real embeddings of K and  $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_r$  the list of real embeddings. Complex embeddings come in pairs, because if  $\sigma : K \to \mathbb{C}$  is a complex embedding then the map  $\overline{\sigma}$  which is defined by  $\overline{\sigma}(\alpha) = \overline{\sigma(\alpha)}$  (i.e. the complex conjugate of  $\sigma(\alpha)$ ) is again a complex embedding different from  $\sigma$ . So in particular the number of complex embeddings is even. We let 2s be the number of complex embeddings of K and  $\sigma_{r+1}, \ldots, \sigma_{r+s}, \sigma_{r+s+1} = \overline{\sigma_{r+1}}, \ldots, \sigma_{r+2s} = \overline{\sigma_{r+s}}$  the list of complex embeddings. By Lemma 6.6 the total number of embeddings is equal to the degree of K over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , so

$$r + 2s = [K : \mathbb{Q}]$$

**Lemma 6.7.** Let K be an algebraic number field of degree n over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , and let  $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n$  be the list of embeddings of K into  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then for every positive real number B the set

$$\{\alpha \in R_K : |\sigma_i(\alpha)| \le B \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots n\}$$

is finite.

Sketch of proof. Let  $\alpha \in R_K$  be such that  $|\sigma_i(\alpha)| \leq B$  for all  $i = 1, \ldots n$ . We define a polynomial  $f(X) \in \mathbb{C}[X]$  by  $f(X) = \prod_{i=1}^n (X - \sigma_i(\alpha))$ . Then the coefficients of f(X) are symmetric functions in  $\sigma_1(\alpha), \ldots, \sigma_n(\alpha)$ . This implies that the coefficients are rational numbers (this follows easily from Galois theory) and integral over  $\mathbb{Z}$ (because  $\alpha \in R_K$  implies that all  $\sigma_i(\alpha)$  are integral over  $\mathbb{Z}$ ), hence by Lemma 3.3 they are integers. Furthermore since all  $|\sigma_i(\alpha)|$  are bounded by B it follows that all coefficients of f(X) are bounded by a constant depending on B (but independent of  $\alpha$ ). It is also easy to see that  $\alpha$  is a root of f(X).

We have shown that  $\alpha$  is a root of a polynomial of degree n with bounded integer coefficients. As there are only finitely many such polynomials and each such polynomial has only finitely many roots, it follows that there are only finitely many  $\alpha$  in the set.

Define a map  $\lambda: R_K^{\times} \to \mathbb{R}^{r+s}$  by

 $\lambda(x) = \left(\log|\sigma_1(x)|, \dots, \log|\sigma_r(x)|, 2\log|\sigma_{r+1}(x)|, \dots, 2\log|\sigma_{r+s}(x)|\right).$ 

Since  $\log |\sigma_i(xy)| = \log |\sigma_i(x)| + \log |\sigma_i(y)|$  for all  $x, y \in R_K^{\times}$  and all  $i = 1, \ldots, r + s$ , the map  $\lambda$  is a homomorphism from the multiplicative group  $R_K^{\times}$  to the additive group  $\mathbb{R}^{r+s}$ .

## Lemma 6.8. $\ker(\lambda) = \mu_K$

*Proof.* If  $\zeta \in \mu_K$  then  $\zeta^e = 1$  for some  $e \in \mathbb{N}$ . It follows that  $e \cdot \lambda(\zeta) = \lambda(\zeta^e) = \lambda(1) = (0, \ldots, 0)$ , hence  $\lambda(\zeta) = (0, \ldots, 0)$ , i.e.  $\zeta \in \ker(\lambda)$ .

Conversely, if  $\zeta \in \ker(\lambda)$  then  $\log |\sigma_i(\zeta)| = 0$  and thus  $|\sigma_i(\zeta)| = 1$  for all  $i = 1, \ldots, r + s$ . This implies that  $|\sigma_i(\zeta)| = 1$  also for  $i = r + s + 1, \ldots, r + 2s$  because  $|\sigma_{r+s+1}(\zeta)| = |\overline{\sigma_{r+1}(\zeta)}| = |\sigma_{r+1}(\zeta)| = 1$  etc. The same argument applies to all powers  $\zeta^i$ . This shows that all  $\zeta^i$  for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$  lie in the finite set of Lemma 6.7 (with

B = 1). Hence there exist integers i < j such that  $\zeta^i = \zeta^j$ , i.e.  $\zeta^{j-i} = 1$ . Thus  $\zeta \in \mu_K$  as claimed.

It follows from Lemma 6.8 that  $R_K^{\times}/\mu_K$  is isomorphic to the image of  $\lambda$ . The next lemma will be used to show that the image of  $\lambda$  lies in the hyperplane

$$H = \{ (x_1, \dots, x_{r+s}) \in \mathbb{R}^{r+s} : x_1 + \dots + x_{r+s} = 0 \}.$$

**Lemma 6.9.** Let  $\alpha \in R_K$ . Then  $\alpha$  is a unit if and only if the product

$$N(\alpha) := \sigma_1(\alpha)\sigma_2(\alpha)\cdots\sigma_{r+2s}(\alpha)$$

is equal to 1 or -1.

Sketch of proof. Let  $\alpha \in R_K^{\times}$ . Since  $\alpha \in R_K$  the  $\sigma_i(\alpha)$  are integral over  $\mathbb{Z}$  for all *i*. Hence the product  $N(\alpha) = \sigma_1(\alpha) \cdots \sigma_{r+2s}(\alpha)$  is integral over  $\mathbb{Z}$ . But using Galois theory it is easy to see that  $N(\alpha)$  lies in  $\mathbb{Q}$ , therefore  $N(\alpha) \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Similarly  $N(\alpha^{-1}) := \sigma_1(\alpha^{-1}) \cdots \sigma_{r+2s}(\alpha^{-1}) \in \mathbb{Z}$  because  $\alpha^{-1} \in R_K$ . But clearly  $N(\alpha) \cdot N(\alpha^{-1}) = 1$ , hence  $N(\alpha) = \pm 1$  as claimed.

Conversely, if  $\sigma_1(\alpha) \cdots \sigma_{r+2s}(\alpha) = \pm 1$  then  $\pm \sigma_1^{-1}(\sigma_2(\alpha) \cdots \sigma_{r+2s}(\alpha))$  is an inverse of  $\alpha$  in  $R_K$ , and thus  $\alpha$  is a unit.

**Lemma 6.10.** The image of  $\lambda$  is a full lattice in  $H \subset \mathbb{R}^{r+s}$ , i.e.  $\lambda(R_K^{\times})$  is a discrete subgroup of H with rank equal to the dimension of H.

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha \in R_K^{\times}$ . Then

$$\lambda(\alpha) = (\log |\sigma_1(\alpha)|, \dots, \log |\sigma_r(\alpha)|, 2\log |\sigma_{r+1}(\alpha)|, \dots, 2\log |\sigma_{r+s}(\alpha)|).$$

Now for  $1 \le i \le s$  we have  $2\log|\sigma_{r+i}(\alpha)| = \log|\sigma_{r+i}(\alpha)| + \log|\sigma_{r+s+i}(\alpha)|$ , hence  $\log|\sigma_1(\alpha)| + \dots + \log|\sigma_r(\alpha)| + 2\log|\sigma_{r+1}(\alpha)| + \dots + 2\log|\sigma_{r+s}(\alpha)|$ 

$$= \log |\sigma_1(\alpha)| + \dots + \log |\sigma_{r+2s}(\alpha)|$$
$$= \log |\sigma_1(\alpha) \cdots \sigma_{r+2s}(\alpha)|$$
$$= \log |\pm 1|$$
$$= 0.$$

This shows that  $\lambda(R_K^{\times}) \subseteq H$ .

If W is any bounded region of H and  $\alpha \in R_K^{\times}$  is such that  $\lambda(\alpha) \in W$  then  $\log|\sigma_i(\alpha)|$  is bounded for  $i = 1, \ldots, r + s$ . It follows that  $|\sigma_i(\alpha)|$  is bounded for all  $i = 1, \ldots, r + 2s$  and Lemma 6.7 therefore implies that  $\alpha$  must lie in a finite set. This shows that  $\lambda(R_K^{\times}) \cap W$  is finite. Hence  $\lambda(R_K^{\times})$  is a discrete subgroup of H.

The most difficult step is to show that  $\lambda(R_K^{\times})$  has rank equal to  $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} H = r+s-1$ . This requires constructing r+s-1 many units in  $R_K$  such that their images under  $\lambda$  are linearly independent over  $\mathbb{R}$ . For details see for example [1, IV.(4.7)].

We have a short exact sequence of abelian groups

$$\{1\} \longrightarrow \ker(\lambda) \longrightarrow R_K^{\times} \xrightarrow{\lambda} \lambda(R_K^{\times}) \longrightarrow 0.$$

By Lemma 6.10 we know that  $\lambda(R_K^{\times})$  is a full lattice in the (r+s-1)-dimensional vector space H. Therefore  $\lambda(R_K^{\times}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{r+s-1}$ , so in particular the short exact sequence splits (non-canonically), i.e. there exists an isomorphism

$$R_K^{\times} \cong \ker(\lambda) \times \lambda(R_K^{\times}).$$

We also know that  $\ker(\lambda) = \mu_K$  by Lemma 6.8. Hence we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 6.11** (Dirichlet's unit theorem). Let K be an algebraic number field. Let r be the number of real embeddings  $K \to \mathbb{R}$  and let 2s be the number of complex embeddings  $K \to \mathbb{C}$ . Then  $R_K^{\times} \cong \mu_K \times \mathbb{Z}^{r+s-1}$ . Units  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{r+s-1} \in R_K^{\times}$  with the property that  $\lambda(\alpha_1), \ldots, \lambda(\alpha_{r+s-1})$  are a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis of the lattice  $\lambda(R_K^{\times})$  are called a system of *fundamental units* for  $R_K^{\times}$ . If  $\zeta$  is a generator of the cyclic group  $\mu_K$  and  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{r+s-1}$  is a system of fundamental units for  $R_K^{\times}$ , then every unit can be expressed uniquely in the form  $\zeta^i \alpha_1^{e_1} \cdots \alpha_{r+s-1}^{e_{r+s-1}}$  where  $0 \leq i < |\mu_K|$  and  $e_1, \ldots, e_{r+s-1} \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

**Definition 6.12.** Let K be an algebraic number field and let  $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_r$  be the real embeddings of K and  $\sigma_{r+1}, \ldots, \sigma_{r+s}$  half of the complex embeddings of K as above. Let  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{r+s-1}$  be a system of fundamental units of  $R_K^{\times}$ . Then the *regulator*  $\operatorname{Reg}_K \in \mathbb{R}$  of K is defined to be the absolute value of any  $(r+s-1) \times (r+s-1)$ -minor of the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \log |\sigma_1(\alpha_1)| & \dots & \log |\sigma_1(\alpha_{r+s-1})| \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \log |\sigma_r(\alpha_1)| & \dots & \log |\sigma_r(\alpha_{r+s-1})| \\ 2\log |\sigma_{r+1}(\alpha_1)| & \dots & 2\log |\sigma_{r+1}(\alpha_{r+s-1})| \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ 2\log |\sigma_{r+s}(\alpha_1)| & \dots & 2\log |\sigma_{r+s}(\alpha_{r+s-1})| \end{pmatrix}$$

This definition does not depend on any of the choices.

**Example 6.13.** Let m > 1 be a square-free integer and  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})$ . Then the field K has two real embeddings (given by  $\sigma_1(a + b\sqrt{m}) = a + b\sqrt{m}$  and  $\sigma_2(a + b\sqrt{m}) = a - b\sqrt{m}$ ) and no complex embeddings, so r = 2, s = 0. Since  $\mu_K = \{1, -1\}$  (by Example 6.4) it follows from Dirichlet's unit theorem that  $R_K^{\times} \cong \{1, -1\} \times \mathbb{Z}$ . If  $\alpha \in R_K^{\times}$  is a fundamental unit then the regulator of K is the absolute value of any  $1 \times 1$ -minor of the matrix

$$\left( \begin{array}{c} \log |\sigma_1(\alpha)| \\ \log |\sigma_2(\alpha)| \end{array} \right)$$

hence  $\operatorname{Reg}_K = |\log|\sigma_1(\alpha)|| = |\log|\sigma_2(\alpha)||.$ 

To give an explicit example, we consider  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ . Then one can show that  $\alpha = 1 + \sqrt{2}$  is a fundamental unit of K (it is clear that  $\alpha$  is a unit because  $\alpha^{-1} = -1 + \sqrt{2} \in R_K$ , but to see that  $\alpha$  is in fact a fundamental unit requires some additional arguments). Hence  $\operatorname{Reg}_K = |\log|\sigma_1(\alpha)|| = |\log(1 + \sqrt{2})| = 0.88137...$ 

#### References

- [1] A. Fröhlich, M.J. Taylor, Algebraic number theory, CUP, 1991.
- [2] S. Lang, Algebra, 3rd edition, Springer, 2002.