

# Fundamental Theory of Statistical Inference

G. Alastair Young

Department of Mathematics  
Imperial College London

LTCC, 2017

Two general classes of models particularly relevant in theory and practice are:

- ▶ exponential families
- ▶ transformation families

# Exponential Families

Suppose that  $Y$  depends on parameter  $\phi = (\phi^1, \dots, \phi^m)^T$ , to be called **natural parameters**, through a density of the form

$$f_Y(y; \phi) = h(y) \exp\{s^T \phi - K(\phi)\}, \quad y \in \mathcal{Y},$$

where  $\mathcal{Y}$  is a set **not** depending on  $\phi$ . Here  $s \equiv s(y) = (s_1(y), \dots, s_m(y))^T$ , are called **natural statistics**.

The value of  $m$  may be reduced if either  $s = (s_1, \dots, s_m)^T$  or  $\phi = (\phi^1, \dots, \phi^m)^T$  satisfies a linear constraint (with probability one). Assume that representation is minimal, in that  $m$  is as small as possible.

# Full Exponential Family

Provided the natural parameter space  $\Omega_\phi$  consists of all  $\phi$  such that

$$\int h(y) \exp\{s^T \phi\} dy < \infty,$$

we refer to the family  $\mathcal{F}$  as a full exponential model, or an  $(m, m)$  exponential family.

# Moments of natural statistics

The moment generating function of the random variable  $S$  corresponding to  $s$  is

$$\begin{aligned}M(S; t, \phi) &= E\{\exp(S^T t)\} \\&= \int h(y) \exp\{s^T(\phi + t)\} dy \exp\{-K(\phi)\} \\&= \exp\{K(\phi + t) - K(\phi)\}.\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$E(S_i; \phi) = \frac{\partial K(\phi)}{\partial \phi^i},$$

Also,

$$\text{cov}(S_i, S_j; \phi) = \frac{\partial^2 K(\phi)}{\partial \phi^i \partial \phi^j}.$$

To compute  $E(S_i)$  etc. it is only necessary to know the function  $K(\phi)$ .

# Properties of exponential families

Let  $s(y) = (t(y), u(y))$  be a partition of the vector of natural statistics, where  $t$  has  $k$  components and  $u$  is  $m - k$  dimensional. Consider the corresponding partition of the natural parameter  $\phi = (\tau, \xi)$ .

The density of a generic element of the family can be written as

$$f_Y(y; \tau, \xi) = \exp\{\tau^T t(y) + \xi^T u(y) - K(\tau, \xi)\} h(y).$$

Two key results hold which allow inference about components of the natural parameter, in the absence of knowledge about the other components.

# Result 1

The family of marginal distributions of  $U = u(Y)$  is an  $m - k$  dimensional exponential family,

$$f_U(u; \tau, \xi) = \exp\{\xi^T u - K_\tau(\xi)\} h_\tau(u),$$

say.

## Result 2

The family of conditional distributions of  $T = t(Y)$  given  $u(Y) = u$  is a  $k$  dimensional exponential family, and the conditional densities are **free of  $\xi$** , so that

$$f_{T|U=u}(t | u; \tau) = \exp\{\tau^T t - K_u(\tau)\} h_u(t),$$

say.

# Curved exponential families

In the above, both the natural statistic and the natural parameter lie in  $m$ -dimensional regions.

Sometimes,  $\phi$  may be restricted to lie in a  $d$ -dimensional subspace,  $d < m$ .

This is most conveniently expressed by writing  $\phi = \phi(\theta)$  where  $\theta$  is a  $d$ -dimensional parameter.

We then have

$$f_Y(y; \theta) = h(y) \exp[s^T \phi(\theta) - K\{\phi(\theta)\}]$$

where  $\theta \in \Omega_\theta \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ .

We call this system an  $(m, d)$  exponential family, or **curved exponential family**, noting that we required that  $(\phi^1, \dots, \phi^m)$  does **not** belong to a  $v$ -dimensional linear subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^m$  with  $v < m$ .

Think of the case  $m = 2, d = 1$ :  $\{\phi^1(\theta), \phi^2(\theta)\}$  describes a **curve** as  $\theta$  varies.

# Transformation families

A transformation family is defined by a **group of transformations acting on the sample space** which generates a family of distributions all of the same form, but with different values of the parameters.

# A reminder

A group  $G$  is a mathematical structure having a binary operation  $\circ$  such that

- ▶ if  $g, g' \in G$ , then  $g \circ g' \in G$ ;
- ▶ if  $g, g', g'' \in G$ , then  $(g \circ g') \circ g'' = g \circ (g' \circ g'')$ ;
- ▶  $G$  contains an identity element  $e$  such that  $e \circ g = g \circ e = g$ , for each  $g \in G$ ; and
- ▶ each  $g \in G$  possesses an inverse  $g^{-1} \in G$  such that  $g \circ g^{-1} = g^{-1} \circ g = e$ .

# Present context

Concerned with group  $G$  of transformations **acting on sample space**  $\mathcal{Y}$  of random variable  $Y$ , binary operation  $\circ$  is composition of functions. Have  $e(x) = x$ ,  $(g_1 \circ g_2)(x) = g_1(g_2(x))$ .

The group elements typically correspond to elements of a parameter space  $\Omega_\theta$ , transformation may be written as  $g_\theta$ . The family of densities of  $g_\theta(Y)$ , for  $g_\theta \in G$  is called a (group) **transformation family**.

# Discussion

Setting  $y \approx y'$  iff there is a  $g \in G$  such that  $y = g(y')$  gives an equivalence relation, which partitions  $\mathcal{Y}$  into equivalence classes called orbits. These may be labelled by an index  $a$ , say.

Each  $y$  belongs to precisely one orbit, and can be represented by  $a$  (which identifies the orbit) and its position on the orbit.

# Maximal invariant

We say that the statistic  $t$  is **invariant** to the action of the group  $G$  if its value does not depend on whether  $y$  or  $g(y)$  was observed, for any  $g \in G : t(y) = t(g(y))$ .

The statistic  $t$  is **maximal invariant** if every other invariant statistic is a function of it, or equivalently,  $t(y) = t(y')$  implies that  $y' = g(y)$  for some  $g \in G$ .

# Group action on $\Omega_\theta$

Typically, there is a one-to-one correspondence between the elements of  $G$  and the parameter space  $\Omega_\theta$ .

Assume this.

Then the action of  $G$  on  $\mathcal{Y}$  requires that  $\Omega_\theta$  itself constitutes a group, with binary operation  $*$  say: we must have  $g_\theta \circ g_\phi = g_{\theta * \phi}$ .

Group action on  $\mathcal{Y}$  induces group action on  $\Omega_\theta$ . If  $\bar{G}$  denotes induced group, associated with each  $g_\theta \in G$  is a  $\bar{g}_\theta \in \bar{G}$ , satisfying  $\bar{g}_\theta(\phi) = \theta * \phi$ .

# Distribution constant statistic

If  $t$  is an invariant statistic, the distribution of  $t(Y)$  is the same as that of  $t(g(Y))$  for all  $g$ . If, as we assume, elements of  $G$  are identified with parameter values, this means distribution of  $T = t(Y)$  **does not depend on the parameter** and is known in principle.

$T$  is said to be **distribution constant**.

# Equivariant statistic

A statistic  $S = s(Y)$  defined on  $\mathcal{Y}$  and taking values in the parameter space  $\Omega_\theta$  is said to be **equivariant** if  $s(g_\theta(y)) = \bar{g}_\theta(s(y))$  for all  $g_\theta \in G$  and  $y \in \mathcal{Y}$ .

# Equivariant estimator

Often  $S$  is chosen to be an estimator of  $\theta$ , and it is then called an equivariant estimator. An equivariant estimator can be used to construct a **maximal invariant**.

# A maximal invariant

Consider  $t(Y) = g_{s(Y)}^{-1}(Y)$ .

This is invariant, since

$$\begin{aligned} t(g_\theta(y)) &= g_{s(g_\theta(y))}^{-1}(g_\theta(y)) = g_{\bar{g}_\theta(s(y))}^{-1}(g_\theta(y)) = g_{\theta * s(y)}^{-1}(g_\theta(y)) \\ &= g_{s(y)}^{-1}\{g_\theta^{-1}(g_\theta(y))\} = g_{s(y)}^{-1}(y) = t(y). \end{aligned}$$

If  $t(y) = t(y')$ , then  $g_{s(y)}^{-1}(y) = g_{s(y')}^{-1}(y')$ , and it follows that  $y' = g_{s(y')} \circ g_{s(y)}^{-1}(y)$ , which shows that  $t(Y)$  is **maximal invariant**.

# Location-scale model

Let  $Y = \eta + \tau\epsilon$ , where  $\epsilon$  has a known density  $f$ , and the parameter  $\theta = (\eta, \tau) \in \Omega_\theta = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+$ . Define a group action by  $g_\theta(y) = g_{(\eta, \tau)}(y) = \eta + \tau y$ , so

$$g_{(\eta, \tau)} \circ g_{(\mu, \sigma)}(y) = \eta + \tau\mu + \tau\sigma y = g_{(\eta + \tau\mu, \tau\sigma)}(y).$$

The set of such transformations is closed with identity  $g_{(0,1)}$ . It is easy to check that  $g_{(\eta, \tau)}$  has inverse  $g_{(-\eta/\tau, \tau^{-1})}$ . Hence,  $G = \{g_{(\eta, \tau)} : (\eta, \tau) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+\}$  constitutes a group under the composition of functions operation  $\circ$ .

The action of  $g_{(\eta, \tau)}$  on a random sample  $Y = (Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$  is  $g_{(\eta, \tau)}(Y) = \eta + \tau Y$ , with  $\eta \equiv \eta \mathbf{1}_n$ , where  $\mathbf{1}_n$  denotes the  $n \times 1$  vector of 1's, and  $Y$  is written as an  $n \times 1$  vector.

The induced group action on  $\Omega_\theta$  is given by  $\bar{g}_{(\eta, \tau)}((\mu, \sigma)) \equiv (\eta, \tau) * (\mu, \sigma) = (\eta + \tau\mu, \tau\sigma)$ .

The sample mean and standard deviation are equivariant, because with  $s(Y) = (\bar{Y}, V^{1/2})$ , where  $V = (n - 1)^{-1} \sum (Y_j - \bar{Y})^2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 s(g_{(\eta, \tau)}(Y)) &= \left( \overline{\eta + \tau Y}, \left\{ (n - 1)^{-1} \sum (\eta + \tau Y_j - \overline{\eta + \tau Y})^2 \right\}^{1/2} \right) \\
 &= \left( \eta + \tau \bar{Y}, \left\{ (n - 1)^{-1} \sum (\eta + \tau Y_j - \eta - \tau \bar{Y})^2 \right\}^{1/2} \right) \\
 &= \left( \eta + \tau \bar{Y}, \tau V^{1/2} \right) \\
 &= \bar{g}_{(\eta, \tau)}(s(Y)).
 \end{aligned}$$

# Maximal invariant

A maximal invariant is  $A = g_{s(Y)}^{-1}(Y)$ , and the parameter corresponding to  $g_{s(Y)}^{-1}$  is  $(-\bar{Y}/V^{1/2}, V^{-1/2})$ .

Hence a maximal invariant is the vector of residuals

$$A = (Y - \bar{Y})/V^{1/2} = \left( \frac{Y_1 - \bar{Y}}{V^{1/2}}, \dots, \frac{Y_n - \bar{Y}}{V^{1/2}} \right)^T,$$

called the [configuration](#).